arXiv:1501.04003v2 [gr-qc] 20 Feb 2015

Holographic dark energy reconstruction in $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

Ines. G. Salako[a] *, Abdul Jawad[b] †and Surajit Chattopadhyay[c] ‡
[a]Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques (IMSP)
01 BP 613 Porto-Novo, Bénin.
[b]Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of
Information Technology, Lahore-54000, Pakistan.
[c]Pailan College of Management and Technology, Bengal
Pailan Park, Kolkata-700 104, India.

Abstract

The present paper reports a holographic reconstruction scheme for $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity proposed in Harko et al. *JCAP* 12(2014)021 where T is the torsion scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor considering future event horizon as the enveloping horizon of the universe. We have considered $f(T, \mathcal{T}) = T + \gamma g(\mathcal{T})$ and $f(T, \mathcal{T}) = \beta\mathcal{T} + g(T)$ for reconstruction. We observe that the derived $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ models can represent phantom or quintessence regimes of the universe which are compatible with the current observational data.

Key-words:Holographic dark energy, $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

1 Introduction

Accelerated expansion of the current universe, which is suggested by the two independent observational signals on distant Type Ia Supernovae (SNeIa)

*inessalako@gmail.com
†jawadab181@yahoo.com; abduljawad@ciitlahore.edu.pk
‡surajcha@iucaa.ernet.in

(Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter 1999; Knop et al. 2003), the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) temperature anisotropies measured by the WMAP and Planck satellites (Spergel et al. 2003; Komatsu et al. 2011; Ade et al. 2013) and Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) (Eisenstein et al. 2005; Percival et al. 2010), is well documented in the literature (Shafieloo et al. 2009; Copeland et al. 2006) and the search for causes behind this accelerated expansion falls into two representative categories: in the first, the concept of "dark energy" (DE) is introduced in the right-hand side of the Einstein equation in the framework of general relativity (for good reviews see (Copeland et al. 2006; Bamba et al. 2012; Caldwell and Kamionkowski 2009)) while in the second one the left-hand side of the Einstein equation is modified, leading to a modified gravitational theory (which is well reviewed in (Nojiri and Odintsov 2011; Clifton et al. 2012; Capozziello et al. 2012; Tsujikawa 2010)).

It was demonstrated in a recent review by Bamba et al. (2012) that both DE models and modified gravity theories are in agreement with data and hence, these two rival approaches could not be discriminated, unless higher precision probes of the expansion rate and the growth of structure of the universe will be available. Origin of DE is one of the most serious problems in modern cosmology (Tsujikawa 2010; Sahni and Starobinsky 2000; Carroll 2001; Padmanabhan 2003; Peebles and Ratra 2003; Sahni et al. 2008). The simplest candidate for DE is cosmological constant Λ, which is extensively reviewed in (Peebles and Ratra 2003). The dynamical DE models can be distinguished from the cosmological constant by considering the evolution of the equation of state (EoS) parameter $w_{DE} = p_{DE}/\rho_{DE}$, where p_{DE} is the pressure and ρ_{DE} is the density of DE. Various candidates of DE are proposed till date that do not involve the cosmological constant. Although the current observational data are not sufficient to provide some preference of other DE models over the *Lambda*CDM model, it says a nothing about the time evolution of the EoS parameter. Dynamic DE models proposed so far include scalar-field models of DE (Amendola 2000; de la Macorra and Filobello 2008; Forte 2004; Singh et al. 2003; Kunz and Sapone 2006; Novosyadlyj et al. 2013), k-essence (Malquarti et al. 2003; Bilic 2008) and Chaplygin gas (Gorini et al. 2008; Pun et al. 2008; Setare 2007). There is another model of DE that is based on the holographic principle according to which the entropy of a system scales not with its volume but with its surface area. This DE candidate is dubbed as holographic DE (Elizalde et al. 2005; Nojiri and Odintsov 2006a; del Campo et al. 2011; Cui and Zhang 2014;

Huang and Gong 2004; Huang and Li 2005; Zhang and Wu 2007).

Now we come to the other approach towards the accelerated expansion of the universe i.e. the modified gravity theory". The current contribution being aimed at exploring a cosmological reconstruction in the framework of a modified gravity theory, let us have a brief overview of the theories of modified gravity as the current contribution is going. Nowadays, modified gravity has become a crucial part of theoretical cosmology (Nojiri and Odintsov 2007a; Nojiri and Odintsov 2007b; Bamba et al. 2012). It is proposed as generalization of General Relativity with the purpose to understand the qualitative change of gravitational interaction in the very early and/or very late universe. In particular, modified gravity not only describes the early-time inflation and late-time acceleration but also proposes the unified consistent description of the universe evolution epochs sequence: inflation, radiation/matter dominance and DE (Nojiri and Odintsov 2014). Nojiri and Odintsov (2007b) summarized the usefulness of modified gravity as follows:

1. it provides natural gravitational alternative for DE.

2. it presents very natural unification of the early-time inflation and late-time acceleration thanks to different role of gravitational terms relevant at small and at large curvature.

3. it may serve as the basis for unified explanation of DE and dark matter.

Reviews on modified gravity include (Bamba et al. 2012; Clifton et al. 2012; Nojiri and Odintsov 2007b; De Felice and Tsujikawa 2010). One of the simplest modifications to the general relativity is the $f(R)$ gravity in which the Lagrangian density f is an arbitrary function of Ricci scalar R (De Felice and Tsujikawa 2010). The $f(R)$ gravity has been reviewed in De Felice and Tsujikawa (2010). The model with $f(R) = R+\alpha R^2$ with $\alpha > 0$, proposed by Starobinsky (1980), can lead to accelerated expansion of the universe. DE models based on $f(R)$ theories have been extensively studied as the simplest modified gravity scenario to realize the late-time acceleration (Capozziello 2002; Capozzielloa et al. 2006; Nojiri and Odintsov 2011; Cognola et al. 2005; Nojiri and Odintsov 2007c; Nojiri and Odintsov 2008). A generalization of $f(R)$ modified theories of gravity was proposed in (Bertolami et al. 2007) by coupling an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R with the matter Lagrangian density L_m (Poplawski 2006). Nevertheless, other kinds of theories have been suggested which include other curvature invariants, such

as the Gauss-Bonnet gravity (Bamba et al. 2014), $f(G)$ gravity (Zhao et al. 2012; Daouda et al. 2012; Salako et al. 2013; Rodrigues et al. 2014), $f(T)$ gravity (T is torsion) (Myrzakulov 2011), Horava-Lifshitz cosmology (Kiritsis and Kofinas 2009), Brans-Dicke cosmology (Lee et al. 2011) etc.

In a recent work, Harko et al. (2014) presented an extension of $f(T)$ gravity, allowing for a general coupling of the torsion scalar T with the trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}$ that resulted in a new modified gravity dubbed $f(T,\mathcal{T})$ gravity that helps one obtaining unified description of the initial inflationary phase, the subsequent non-accelerating, matter-dominated expansion, and then the transition to a late-time accelerating phase. Subsequently (Momeni and Myrzakulov 2014; Junior et al. 2015) showed how $f(T,\mathcal{T})$ can be reconstructed for ΛCDM as the most popular and consistent model. The present paper reports a holographic reconstruction scheme for $f(T,\mathcal{T})$ using the models $f(T,\mathcal{T}) = T + \gamma g(\mathcal{T})$ and $f(T,\mathcal{T}) = \beta\mathcal{T} + g(T)$. Rest of the paper has the following sequence: We present a general introduction of the $f(T,\mathcal{T})$ gravity in the next section. We discuss the features of HDE and the corresponding reconstruction scheme for the aforesaid models in section **4**. We conclude our results in the last section.

2 $f(T,\mathcal{T})$ Gravity

The line element is defined as follows

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{ij}\theta^i\theta^j\,, \tag{1}$$

with the definition

$$d^\mu = e_i{}^\mu\theta^i; \quad \theta^i = e^i{}_\mu dx^\mu. \tag{2}$$

Here, $\eta_{ij} = diag(1,-1,-1,-1)$ (Minkowskian metric) while the tetrad components $\{e^i{}_\mu\}$ satisfy the following relations

$$e_i{}^\mu e^i{}_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu, \quad e_\mu{}^i e^\mu{}_j = \delta^i_j. \tag{3}$$

The Levi-Civita connection (in general relativity) has the form

$$\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}\left(\partial_\nu g_{\sigma\mu} + \partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}\right)\,, \tag{4}$$

which exists for nonzero spacetime curvature but zero torsion. On the other hand, teleparallel theory and its modified versions contains Weitzenbock's connection which has the following form

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = e_i{}^{\lambda}\partial_{\mu}e^i{}_{\nu} = -e^i{}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}e_i{}^{\lambda}. \tag{5}$$

This connection has main geometrical objects and torsion is one of them, which is defined as

$$T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\mu}, \tag{6}$$

and the corresponding contorsion tensor is

$$K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} + T_{\nu}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu} - T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}) \,, \tag{7}$$

and its other from is

$$K^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(T^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda} - T^{\nu\mu}{}_{\lambda} + T_{\lambda}{}^{\nu\mu}\right) \,. \tag{8}$$

The torsion and contorsion help us in defining the new tensor $S_{\lambda}{}^{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$S_{\lambda}{}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(K^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda} + \delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}T^{\alpha\nu}{}_{\alpha} - \delta^{\nu}_{\lambda}T^{\alpha\mu}{}_{\alpha}\right). \tag{9}$$

Also, one can defined the torsion scalar as follows

$$T = T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}S_{\lambda}{}^{\mu\nu} \tag{10}$$

Since we are dealing with a modified version of the teleparallel gravity whose action can be written as

$$S = \int e\left[\frac{T + f(T,\mathcal{T})}{2\kappa^2} + \mathcal{L}_m\right]d^4x \tag{11}$$

where $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$. The variation of this action (11) according to tetrads gives (Momeni and Myrzakulov 2014; Junior et al. 2015; Harko et al. 2014)

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{\mu}{}^{\nu\rho}\, f_{T\mathcal{T}}\, \partial_{\rho}T + \left[e^{-1}e^i{}_{\mu}\partial_{\rho}\Big(ee_i{}^{\mu}S_{\alpha}{}^{\nu\lambda}\Big) + T^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda\mu}S_{\alpha}{}^{\nu\lambda}\right]\Big(1 + f_T\Big) + \\ &\frac{1}{4}\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}T = S_{\mu}{}^{\nu\rho}\, f_{T\mathcal{T}}\, \partial_{\rho}\mathcal{T} + f_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\frac{\Theta^{\nu}_{\mu} + \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\, p}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}f(\mathcal{T}) + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\Theta^{\nu}_{\mu} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $f_T = \partial f/\partial T$, $f_{T\mathcal{T}} = \partial^2 f/\partial T \partial \mathcal{T}$, $f_{TT} = \partial^2 f/\partial T^2$ and Θ^ν_μ is the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields. Here we study the cosmological dynamics of the present modified gravity in flat FRW universe. The FRW metric is defined as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right). \tag{13}$$

We use diagonal tetrads $\{e^a{}_\mu\} = diag[1, a, a, a]$ and its determinant is a^3. The torsion and contorsion tensors has following non-zero components

$$T^1{}_{01} = T^2{}_{02} = T^3{}_{03} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad K^{01}{}_1 = K^{02}{}_2 = K^{03}{}_3 = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \tag{14}$$

and the components of the tensor $S_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu}$ are

$$S_0{}^{11} = S_0{}^{22} = S_0{}^{33} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \tag{15}$$

However, the torsion scalar has the following form

$$T = -6H^2, \tag{16}$$

in the present scenario and $H = \dot{a}/a$ denotes the Hubble parameter. We mention that the expression of the trace of matter energy-momentum tensor $\Theta = \mathcal{T} = (\rho_m - 3p_m)$. We consider the ordinary DM whose EoS is $p_m = \omega_m \rho_m$ and the corresponding energy-momentum tensor is

$$\Theta^\nu_\mu = diag(1, -\omega_m, -\omega_m, -\omega_m)\rho_m. \tag{17}$$

3 Reconstruction of Holographic $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ Dark Energy

3.1 Holographic Dark Energy

In this section, we present a general formalism of HDE density. The density ρ_{DE} can be written as (Wu and Zhu 2008; Houndjo and Piattella 2011; Setare and Darabi 2011)

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{3e^2}{R_h^2}, \quad R_h = a(t)\int_t^\infty \frac{d\tilde{t}}{a(\tilde{t})} = a\int_a^\infty \frac{da}{Ha^2} \tag{18}$$

where e is a constant. By using of the critical energy density $\rho_{cr} = 3H^2$, we can define the dimensionless DE as

$$\Omega_{DE} = \frac{\rho_{DE}}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{e^2}{H^2 R_h^2} \ . \tag{19}$$

Using the definitions of Ω_{DE} and ρ_{cr}, we get

$$\dot{R}_h \ = \ HR_h - 1 = \frac{e}{\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}} - 1. \tag{20}$$

The continuity equation for DE becomes

$$\dot{\rho}_{DE} + 3H\left(\rho_{DE} + p_{DE}\right) = 0 \ . \tag{21}$$

In terms of fractional energy density, the time rate of the HDE density becomes

$$\dot{\rho}_{DE} = -\frac{-2}{R_h}\left(\frac{e}{\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}} - 1\right)\rho_{DE} \ , \tag{22}$$

from which, using (21), we get

$$\omega_{DE} = -\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}}{3e}\right) \ . \tag{23}$$

From the above equation, one can analyze the behavior of EoS parameter for $\Omega_{DE} \to 1$ (in the future) as follows: $\omega_{DE} > -1$ and behaves like a quintessence for $e > 1$. While the universe approaches to de Sitter phase for $e = 1$ and it enters into phantom phase (with $\omega_{DE} < -1$) for $e < 1$. Thus, the parameter e plays a crucial role in the evolution of the universe through HDE.

By assuming two particular actions of $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ Lagrangian, we reconstruct HDE $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ models as follows.

3.2 $f(T, \mathcal{T}) = T + \gamma\, g(\mathcal{T})$ gravity

With the help of the above quantities, we can obtain the field equations (modified Friedmann Eq. (13)) as follows

$$3H^2 \ = \ \rho_m - \frac{\gamma}{2}g(\mathcal{T}) + g_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_m + p_m) \ , \tag{24}$$

$$-3H^2 - 2\dot{H} \ = \ p_m + \frac{\gamma}{2}g(\mathcal{T}) \ , \tag{25}$$

where ρ_m and p_m denote energy density and pressure corresponding to DM, respectively. The above equations can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 3H^2 &= \rho_m + \rho_{DE} \quad (26) \\ -3H^2 - 2\dot{H} &= p_m + p_{DE}. \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho_{DE} = -\frac{\gamma}{2}g(\mathcal{T}) + g_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_m + p_m), \quad p_{DE} = \frac{\gamma}{2}g(\mathcal{T}). \quad (28)$$

Combining (26) and (27), one obtains the following equation

$$\rho_{DE} + p_{DE} = g_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_m + p_m) = g_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{\mathcal{T}\,(1+\omega_m)}{(1-3\omega_m)}. \quad (29)$$

We can also rewrite (29) by considering EoS $p_{DE} = \omega_{DE}\rho_{DE}$ for HDE as

$$-2H^2\Omega_{DE}\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}}{e}\right) = g_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{\mathcal{T}\,(1+\omega_m)}{(1-3\omega_m)}\ . \quad (30)$$

For determining $g(T)$ coming from HDE, we assume the Hubble parameter as follows

$$H(t) = h\,(t_s - t)^{-\alpha}\ , \quad (31)$$

where h and α appear as positive constants which are taken as to explain acceleration of the universe. As t_s is future singularity finite time, such that $t < t_s$. Using (31) and (16), one has

$$\dot{H} = \alpha h\left[-\frac{T}{6h^2}\right]^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}}\ , \quad (32)$$

with which we rewrite Eq.(30) as

$$\frac{-T}{3}\Omega_{DE}\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}}{e}\right) = g_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{\mathcal{T}\,(1+\omega_m)}{(1-3\omega_m)}\ . \quad (33)$$

The scale factor for (31) takes the form $a(t) = a_0 e^{\frac{h(t_s-t)^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha-1}}$. For this scale factor, the event horizon takes the form

$$R_h = a_0 e^{\frac{h(t_s-t)^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha-1}}\int_t^{t_s}\frac{1}{a_0}e^{-\frac{h(t_s-\tilde{t})^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha-1}}d\tilde{t}. \quad (34)$$

we take $\alpha = 1$ for the sake of simplicity. Then, Eq.(34) becomes

$$R_h = \frac{t_s - t}{1+h} \ , \tag{35}$$

from which we get

$$\Omega_{DE} = \frac{e^2 h^2}{(1+h)^2} \ , \tag{36}$$

and Eq.(33) takes the form

$$K = g_{\mathcal{T}} \, \mathcal{T} \tag{37}$$

where K is a constant depending on h and e as

$$K = \frac{-T}{3} \, \frac{e^2 h^2 \, (1 - 3\omega_m)}{(1+\omega_m) \, (1+h)^2} \left(1 - \frac{h}{1+h}\right) \ . \tag{38}$$

The Eq. (37) gives the following solution

$$g(\mathcal{T}) = A \ \ln \mathcal{T}^K \ , \tag{39}$$

and the corresponding $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity model according to HDE is

$$f(T, \mathcal{T}) = T + A \ \ln \mathcal{T}^K \ , \tag{40}$$

where K and A are constant. Also, the torsion scalar takes the form T_0 [1] at early time t_0. Thus we have

$$\left(\frac{dT}{dt}\right)_{t=t_0} = -12h^2 \left(-\frac{T_0}{6h^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ . \tag{41}$$

For determining the respective value of A, we use the initial conditions as in $f(R)$ theory of gravity (Wu and Zhu 2008) and hence the function $g(\mathcal{T})$ must obey the following initial conditions

$$(g)_{t=t_0} = T_0 \ , \qquad \left(\frac{dg}{dt}\right)_{t=t_0} = \left(\frac{dT}{dt}\right)_{t=t_0} \ . \tag{42}$$

which gives

$$\ln A = -\ln \mathcal{T}_0^K \ . \tag{43}$$

Hence, the function $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ has taken the following form

$$f(T, \mathcal{T}) = T + \gamma \ln \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\mathcal{T}_0}\right)^K . \tag{44}$$

[1] It is easy to obtain this value through Eq. (16) in term of the initial Hubble parameter H_0 according to the observational data

3.3 $f(T,\mathcal{T}) = \beta\,\mathcal{T} + g(T)$ gravity

For this model, the field equations (12) turns out to be

$$3H^2 = \rho_m - -\frac{1}{2}f(T) - 6H^2 f_T + \frac{2\beta\,\omega_m\,\mathcal{T}}{(1-3\omega_m)}, \tag{45}$$

$$-3H^2 - 2\dot{H} = p_m + \frac{1}{2}f(T) + 2\left(3H^2+\dot{H}\right)f_T - 24\dot{H}H^2 f_{TT} - \frac{2\beta\,\omega_m\,\mathcal{T}}{(1-3\omega_m)}, \tag{46}$$

For this model, the field equations can also be written as

$$3H^2 = \rho_m + \rho_{DE}\,, \tag{47}$$

$$-3H^2 - 2\dot{H} = p_m + p_{DE} \tag{48}$$

with

$$\rho_{DE} = -\frac{1}{2}g(T) - 6H^2 g_T + \frac{2\beta\,\omega_m\,\mathcal{T}}{(1-3\omega_m)}\ , \tag{49}$$

$$p_{DE} = \frac{1}{2}g(T) + 2\left(3H^2+\dot{H}\right)g_T - 24\dot{H}H^2 g_{TT} - \frac{2\beta\omega_m\,\mathcal{T}}{(1-3\omega_m)}\ . \tag{50}$$

By adding (49) and (50), we can obtain

$$\rho_{DE} + p_{DE} = 2\dot{H}g_T - 24\dot{H}H^2 g_{TT}\ . \tag{51}$$

We can rewrite (51) for this model as follows

$$-2H^2\Omega_{DE}\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}}{e}\right) = 2\dot{H}g_T - 24\dot{H}H^2 g_{TT}\ . \tag{52}$$

Using (16) and (31), we rewrite Eq.(52) as

$$2Tg_{TT} + g_T - \frac{1}{\alpha h^3}\Omega_{DE}\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{\Omega_{DE}}}{e}\right)\left[-\frac{T}{6h^2}\right]^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} = 0\ . \tag{53}$$

By making use of (36), Eq.(53) takes the form

$$2Tg_{TT} + g_T + Q = 0\ , \tag{54}$$

where Q is a constant depending on h and e as

$$Q = -\frac{e^2 h^3}{(1+h)^3} \ . \tag{55}$$

The general solution of (54) is

$$g(T) = -QT + 2\gamma_1\sqrt{-T} + \gamma_2 \ , \tag{56}$$

which also becomes

$$g(T) = (1-K)\,T + 2\gamma_1\sqrt{-T} + \gamma_2 \ , \tag{57}$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are constants which can be found as follows

$$\left(\frac{dT}{dt}\right)_{t=t_0} = -12h^2\left(-\frac{T_0}{6h^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ . \tag{58}$$

With the help of initial conditions, one can get γ_1 and γ_2 as follows

$$\gamma_1 = Q\sqrt{-T_0} \ , \qquad \gamma_2 = -KT_0 \ . \tag{59}$$

We can then write the explicit expression of $g(T)$ as

$$f(T,\mathcal{T}) = \beta\,\mathcal{T} + (1-Q)\,T + 2Q\sqrt{T_0 T} - QT_0 \ . \tag{60}$$

We can observe that when HDE contribution is almost null, i.e. ($e = 0$, or $Q = 0$ and $\beta = 0$), $f(T) = T$ (the teleparallel gravity equivalent to GR). For $\beta = 0$, the above result corresponds to $f(R)$ gravity (Nojiri and Odintsov 2006b), This allows us to tell our model provides a general aspect.

4 Conclusion

The reconstruction of $f(T,\mathcal{T})$ gravity for HDE model has been presented in this paper. Two sepcific models has been adopted for our work such as $f(T,\mathcal{T}) = T + \gamma g(\mathcal{T})$ (a correction to the teleparallel action depending on the matter content) and $f(T,\mathcal{T}) = \beta\mathcal{T} + g(T)$ (a simple $\mathcal{T}$-linear correction to the class of $f(T)$ theories). In these cases, we can recover teleparallel gravity by setting $g(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ or $g(T) = 0$. We have obtained the equation of motion for the flat FRW universe. We found that the differential equations

were solved analytically by use of the initial conditions. Thus, we have solved these differential equations for $g(T)$ and obtain g and corresponding f. The introduced constants γ_1 and γ_2 has been determined on the basis of initial conditions as mentioned in $f(R)$ gravity.

Acknowledgement: Ines G. Salako thanks IMSP for hospitality during the elaboration of this work. Surajit Chattopadhyay sincerely acknowledges financial support under DST Project SR/FTP/PS-167/2011 and the visiting associateship of IUCAA, Pune, India.

References

Amendola, L.: Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043511 (2000)
Bamba, K., Capozziello, S., Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Astrophys. Space Sci., **342**, 155 (2012)
Bamba, K., Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: JCAP **0810**, 045 (2008)
Bamba, K., Odintsov, S.D., Sebastiani, L., Zerbini, S.: Euro. Phys. J. C **67**, 295 (2010)
Bambaa, K., Makarenkoc, A.N., Myagkye, A.N., Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Lett. B **732**, 349 (2014)
Bertolami, O., Bhmer, C.G., Harko, T., Lobo, F.S.N.: Phys. Rev. D 75, 104016 (2007)
Bilic, N.: Phys. Rev. D **78**, 105012 (2008)
Caldwell, R.R., Kamionkowski, M.:Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **59**, 397 (2009)
Capozziello, S., De Laurentis, M., Odintsov, S.D.: Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2068 (2012)
Capozziello, S.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **11**, 483491, (2002)
Capozzielloa, S., Nojiri, S., Odintsovc, S.D., Troisia, A.: Phys. Lett. B **639**, 135 (2006)
Carroll, S.M.: Living Rev. Rel. **4**, 1 (2001)
Clifton, T., Ferreira, P.G., Padilla, A., Skordis, C.: Phys. Rept. **513**, 1 (2012)
Cognola, G., Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D., Zerbini, S.: JCAP **02**, 010 (2005)
Copeland, E.J., Sami, M., Tsujikawa, S.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, **15**, 1753

(2006)
Cui, J-L., Zhang, J-F.: Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2849 (2014)
Daouda, M.H., Rodrigues, M.E., Houndjo, M.J.S.: Euro. Phys. J. C **72**, 1893 (2012)
de la Macorra, A., Filobello, U.: Phys. Rev. D **77**, 023531 (2008)
del Campo, S., Fabris, J.C., Herrera, R., Zimdahl, W.: Phys. Rev. D **83**, 123006 (2011)
De Felice, A., Tsujikawa, S.: Living Rev. Relativity **13**, 3 (2010)
Eisenstein, D.J. et al.: Astrophys. J. **633**, 560 (2005)
Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D., Wang, P.: Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103504 (2005)
Forte, M.: Phys. Rev. D **90**, 027302 (2004)
Gorini, V., Kamenshchik, A.Y., Moschella, U., Piattella, O.F., Starobinsky, A.A.: J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **2008**, 016 (2008)
Harko, T., Lobo, F.S.N., Otalora, G., Saridakis, E.N.: JCAP **12**, 021 (2014)
Houndjo, M.J.S. Piattella, O.F.: (2011) arXiv:1111.4275
Huang, Q-G., Gong, Y.: JCAP **0408**, 006 (2004)
Huang, Q-G., Li, M.: JCAP **0503**, 001 (2005)
Junior, E.L.B., Rodrigues, M.E., Salako, I.G., Houndjo, M.J.S.: (2015) arXiv:1501.00621
Kiritsis, E., Kofinas, G.: Nucl. Phys. B **821**, 467 (2009)
Knop, R.A. et al.: Astrophys. J. **598**, 102 (2003)
Komatsu, E. et al.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 18 (2011)
Kunz, M., Sapone, D.: Phys. Rev. D **74**, 123503 (2006)
Lee, H.W., Kim, K.Y., Myung, Y.S.: Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1585 (2011)
Malquarti, M., Copeland, E.J., Liddle, A.R.: Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023512 (2003)
Momeni, D., Myrzakulov, R.: : Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **11**, 1450077 (2014)
Myrzakulov, R., Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1752 (2011)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D., Tsujikawa, S.: Phys. Rev. D **71** 063004 (2005)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 1285 (2006a)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **11**, 1460006 (2014)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 115 (2007b)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **66**, 012005 (2007a)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Lett. B **657**, 238 (2007c)

Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Lett. B, 659, 821 (2008)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Rep. **505**, 59 (2011)
Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Rev. D **74**, 086005 (2006b)
Novosyadlyj, B. et al. JCAP **06**, 042 (2013)
Padmanabhan, T.: Phys. Rept. **380**, 235 (2003)
Peebles, P.J.E., Ratra, B.: Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003)
Percival, W.J. et al.: Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **401**, 2148 (2010)
Perlmutter, S.: Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999)
Planck Collaboration, Ade, P.A.R. et al.: 2013, arXiv:1303.5076
Poplawski, N.J.: arXiv:gr-qc/0608031 (2006)
Pun, C.S.J. et al.: Phys. Rev. D **77**, 063528 (2008)
Riess, A.G. et al.: Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998)
Rodrigues, M.E., Salako, I.G., Houndjo, M.J.S., Tossa, J.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, 1450004 (2014)
Sahni, V., Shafieloo, A., Starobinsky, A.A.: Phys. Rev. D **78**, 103502 (2008)
Sahni, V., Starobinsky, A.A.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **9**, 373 (2000)
Salako, I.G., Rodrigues, M.E., Kpadonou, A.V., Houndjo, M.J.S., Tossa, J.: JCAP **060**, 1475 (2013)
Setare, M.R., Darabi, F.: (2011) arXiv: 1110.3962v1
Setare, M.R.: Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 689 (2007)
Shafieloo, A., Sahni, V., Starobinsky, A.A.: Phys. Rev. D **80**, 101301 (2009)
Singh, P., Sami, M., Dadhich, N.: Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023522 (2003)
Spergel, D.N. et al.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003)
Starobinsky, A.A.: Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980)
Tsujikawa, S.: Lect. Notes Phys. **800**, 99145 (2010)
Wu, X., Zhu, Z-H.: Phys. Lett. B **660**, 293 (2008)
Zhang, X., Wu, F-Q.: Phys. Rev. D **76**, 023502 (2007)
Zhao, Y-Y. et al.: Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 1924 (2012)